

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/752,252	01/06/2004	Ramiro H. Bravo	TRG0001	4011
27187 BAKER & DA	7590 03/13/200 ANIELS LLP	8	EXAMINER	
202 South Mie		ESTREMSKY, GARY WAYNE		
Suite 1400 South Bend, I	N 46601		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3673	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/752,252	BRAVO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gary Estremsky	3673		

	Gary Estremsky	3673	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this As no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, it checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since a
	t prior to the date of Elina a brief		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional daims without canceling a control of the property of the pro	sideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	11 See attached Nation of Non Co.	maliant Amandment /	DTOL 224)
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		ripliant Amendment (	F TOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. \( \bar{\times} \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s), a) \( \bar{\times} \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \( \frac{29-39}{2-39} \) and \( \frac{40-43}{2-3} \) Claim(s) objected to: \( \times \) Claim(s) rejected: \( \frac{11-49-16-28}{2-39} \) Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \( \times \)		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:			
	/Gary Estremsky/	nit 3673	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Argument that portion 21 (of shackle 23) and part 415 of the Tsai '051 reference, as relied upon, do not meet in bores defining a T-shaped configuration within the body are not persuasive in view of illustration of same on the face of the Patent. Portions 21,415 meet in perpendicular bores that generally define a T-shaped arrangement that anticipates broad limitation for same. Arguments of the improper use of hindsight in making rejctions under 35 USC 103 are not persuasive since the motivational statements provided in the grounds of rejection refer to the prior art references and to the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art and make no reference to the present disclosure.